

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/084,475	02/28/2002	Sakae Someya	501.26071CC8	1392	
20457	7590 05/06/2004		. EXAMINER		
	I, TERRY, STOUT & I SEVENTEENTH STREE	PARKER, KENNETH			
SUITE 1800	SEVENTEENTH STREE	51	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON	, VA 22209-9889		2871		

DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T A 10: 41: NI:		A - 11				
Office Action Summary		Application No	'-	Applicant(s)				
		10/084,475		SOMEYA ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Kenneth A Park	er	2871				
Th	e MAILING DATE of this communication app eply	ears on the cov	er sheet with the co	orrespondence address				
THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6 - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 () MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we apply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, exceived by the Office later than three months after the mailing and term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, how y within the statutory mand will expired will expired to the application of the statutory mand will expired to the application of the statutory will expire the application of the statutory of the statutory with the statutory of the statu	wever, may a reply be time sinimum of thirty (30) days e SIX (6) MONTHS from t to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ Res	ponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>4</u> 0	5/2004						
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3) Since								
clos	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition o	of Claims							
4)⊠ Clai)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 14-32</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) (4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14-22</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)☐ Clai	m(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Clai	m(s) <u>1 and 23-32</u> is/are rejected.							
7)∐ Clai	m(s) is/are objected to.							
8)∐ Clai	m(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requir	ement.					
Application F	Papers							
9) <u></u> The	specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) <u></u> The	drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b)⊡ ol	ojected to by the E	xaminer.				
App	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
•	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) <u></u> The	oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note th	e attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Ackr a)⊠ A 1.⊏	• •			-(d) or (f).				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
•· <u>_</u>	application from the International Bureau			•				
* See t	he attached detailed Office action for a list			d.				
Attachment(s)	04.4/070.000	` "-	7 Intonia S	(DTO 442)				
	References Cited (PTO-892) Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) Information	n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) s)/Mail Date	5) <u> </u>		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/084,475

Art Unit: 2871

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 23-32 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of U.S. Patent No. 5532850.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they describe essentially the same thing in slightly different so as to have a slightly different breadth.

Claim rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of U.S. Patent No. 5838399. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they describe essentially the same thing in slightly different so as to have a slightly different breadth

Claim rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of U.S. Patent No. 6184963. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other

Application/Control Number: 10/084,475

Art Unit: 2871

because they describe essentially the same thing in slightly different so as to have a slightly different breadth.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth A Parker whose telephone number is 571-272-2298. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 571-272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kenneth A Parker Primary Examiner Art Unit 2871
